arXiv:math/0209105v1 [math.RA] 10 Sep 2002

CLASSIFICATION OF SOME SIMPLE GRADED PRE-LIE ALGEBRAS OF GROWTH ONE

Frédéric Chapoton

We classify a class of infinite-dimensional simple graded pre-Lie algebras on the graded vector space underlying the algebra of Laurent polynomials, with a specific form for the product.

0. Introduction

The notion of pre-Lie algebra can be seen as a weakened form of associative algebra, still giving a Lie algebra by anti-symmetrization. It has been introduced by Gerstenhaber in his work on deformations of algebras [**Ger64**]. More recently, it has been studied from the point of view of operad theory and seen to be related to rooted trees [**CL01**].

The motivating problem for this paper is the classification of infinite-dimensional simple graded pre-Lie algebras of finite growth over $\mathbb{C}$. This seems to be a difficult problem, as it implies in particular the same classification for associative algebras. The analogous problem for Lie algebras has been solved by Mathieu in [**Mat91**, **Mat92**]. The first step in the case of Lie algebras was the classification for growth not greater than 1 done in [**Mat86b**, **Mat86a**]. As a modest first step to the similar classification problem for pre-Lie algebras, we obtain here the classification of simple graded pre-Lie algebras such that

1) The underlying graded vector space is $E = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{C}e_i$.
2) The product is given by $e_i \circ e_j = f(i)g(j)e_{i+j}$, for some complex-valued functions f and g on $\mathbb{Z}$. (#)

This Ansatz for the product has no special meaning, except that it allows for a full classification, which is unknown in the general case.

Theorem 0.1. *Any simple graded pre-Lie algebra structure on E of the form (#) is isomorphic either to the algebra A_a defined by*

$$e_i \circ e_j = (1 + ai)e_{i+j} \tag{1}$$

for some complex number a, or to the algebra B_b defined by

$$e_i \circ e_j = \frac{i}{1 + bj}e_{i+j} \tag{2}$$

for some complex number b which is not the inverse of an integer.

All these algebras are pairwise non-isomorphic, with the exceptions $A_a \simeq A_{-a}$ and $B_b \simeq B_{-b}$.

One can see that the associated Lie algebras are isomorphic to the Witt algebra W, except for A_0 with trivial Lie algebra. The pre-Lie algebras A_a and B_b have been considered before by Kuperschmidt in his study of a pre-Lie ("quasiassociative") structure on the Virasoro algebra [**Kup99**]. There remains the possibility of other isomorphism classes of simple pre-Lie algebra structures on E with no presentation fitting our Ansatz.

The first section collects basic general results on pre-Lie algebras. The second section contains the proof that any simple graded pre-Lie algebra fitting the Ansatz is isomorphic either to an algebra A_a or an algebra B_b. In the third section, possible isomorphisms between the algebras A_a and B_b are determined. The last section deals with injective morphisms into pre-Lie algebras of vector fields on the line.

1. General properties of simple pre-Lie algebras

This section contains basic material on pre-Lie algebras, some of which can already be found in [**Bur96**, **Bur98**], which are concerned with finite dimensional pre-Lie algebras (under the name left-symmetric algebras).

Definition 1.1. A pre-Lie algebra is a vector space L endowed with $\circ : L \otimes L \to L$ satisfying

$$(x \circ y) \circ z - x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ z) \circ y - x \circ (z \circ y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in L. \tag{3}$$

An *ideal* in a pre-Lie algebra is a two-sided ideal for $\circ$.

Definition 1.2. A graded pre-Lie algebra with non-zero product and without any non-trivial homogeneous ideal is called simple.

Lemma 1.3. *In a pre-Lie algebra L, the subspaces*

$$\mathrm{Ann}(L) = \{x \in L \mid \forall y \in L \quad y \circ x = 0\} \tag{4}$$

$$\textit{and} \quad L \circ L = \textit{span of } \{x \circ y \mid x, y \in L\} \tag{5}$$

are ideals and are preserved by any automorphism of L.

Proof. These subspaces are clearly invariant by any automorphism. The only non-trivial check is that $x \circ y$ is in $\mathrm{Ann}(L)$ for $x \in \mathrm{Ann}(L)$ and $y \in L$. Indeed, one has, for $z \in L$,

$$z \circ (x \circ y) = z \circ (y \circ x) - (z \circ y) \circ x + (z \circ x) \circ y, \tag{6}$$

which vanishes by definition of $\mathrm{Ann}(L)$. □

In a graded pre-Lie algebra L, $\mathrm{Ann}(L)$ and $L \circ L$ are therefore homogeneous ideals.

Lemma 1.4. *In a simple graded pre-Lie algebra L,* $\mathrm{Ann}(L)$ *is zero and* $L \circ L$ *is* L*.*

Proof. By simplicity, $\mathrm{Ann}(L)$ and $L \circ L$ can only be zero or L. If either $\mathrm{Ann}(L)$ is L or $L \circ L$ is zero, then the product is zero, which is excluded by definition. □

Lemma 1.5. *Let L be a graded pre-Lie algebra. If the associated graded Lie algebra is graded simple then L is graded simple as a pre-Lie algebra.*

Proof. A non-trivial homogeneous pre-Lie ideal would give a non-trivial homogeneous Lie ideal. □

Lemma 1.6. *Let e be an invariant vector in a pre-Lie algebra L, i.e. $e \circ x = 0$ for all $x \in L$. Then the map $R_e : y \mapsto y \circ e$ is a derivation of the pre-Lie product of L.*

Proof. Write the pre-Lie axiom for $(x \circ y) \circ e$. □

2. Reduction to cases A and B

The condition that formula (#) defines a pre-Lie algebra can be stated as the vanishing of the numbers $(C_{i,j,k})_{i,j,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$, where $C_{i,j,k}$ is the coefficient of e_{i+j+k} in

$$(e_i \circ e_j) \circ e_k - e_i \circ (e_j \circ e_k) - (e_i \circ e_k) \circ e_j + e_i \circ (e_k \circ e_j). \tag{7}$$

Remark that the numbers $C_{i,j,j}$ are always zero because $C_{i,j,k} = -C_{i,k,j}$. Explicitly, $C_{i,j,k}$ is given by

$$f(i)\Big(\big(f(i+j) - f(i+k)\big)g(j)g(k) + \big(f(k)g(j) - f(j)g(k)\big)g(j+k)\Big). \tag{8}$$

One can now use the general properties proved in the previous section to deduce properties of f and g from the simplicity of E.

As $\mathrm{Ann}(E)$ is zero, the function g can not vanish anywhere. One can (and will) in particular assume without restriction that $g(0) = 1$ by dividing the basis and the function g by $g(0)$.

As $E \circ E$ is E, the function f cannot be identically zero. Let us discuss according to the value of $f(0)$.

2.1. Case A: $f(0) \neq 0$. When $f(0) \neq 0$, one can assume without restriction that $f(0) = 1$ by dividing the basis and the function f by $f(0)$.

Lemma 2.1. *In this case, one has $g(k) = 1$ for all k.*

Proof. Write the condition $C_{0,0,k} = 0$ and use that $g(k) \neq 0$. □

Therefore the vanishing of formula (8) reduces to

$$f(i)\Big(f(i+j) - f(j) - \big(f(i+k) - f(k)\big)\Big) = 0. \tag{9}$$

Let us introduce a family of simple graded pre-Lie algebras A_a indexed by a complex number a. They are defined on the vector space E by the formula $e_i \circ e_j = (1+ai)e_{i+j}$. One can check that, for all $a \neq 0$, the associated Lie algebra of A_a is the Witt algebra W, which is a simple graded Lie algebra. It follows by Lemma 1.5 that A_a is a simple graded pre-Lie algebra for $a \neq 0$. The graded-simplicity of A_0 is easy to prove and the associated Lie algebra has zero product.

Proposition 2.2. *Any simple graded pre-Lie algebra structure on E with product*

$$e_i \circ e_j = f(i)e_{i+j}, \tag{10}$$

for some complex-valued function f on $\mathbb{Z}$ with $f(0) = 1$, is isomorphic to one of the A_a.

Proof. Let us first assume that $f(i) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$. Then the subspace $\oplus_{i\neq 0}\mathbb{C}e_i$ is a non-trivial homogeneous ideal of E_f, which is a contradiction.

One can therefore assume that there exists $i \neq 0$ with $f(i) \neq 0$. We do the proof when there exists such a positive i, the negative case being strictly analog. Let d be the smallest positive i such that $f(i) \neq 0$ and let $f(d) = 1 + a$ for some complex number $a \neq -1$.

If $d = 1$, then formula (9) for $i = 1$, $j = 0$ and k implies that $f(k) = 1+ka$ for all k. This is the pre-Lie algebra A_a introduced above.

If $d > 1$, then $f(1) = 0$ and formula (9) for $i = d$, $j = 0$ and $k = 1$ implies that $f(d+1) = a$. If $a = 0$ then f is periodic of period d and therefore

$$\begin{cases} f(i) = 1 \text{ if } d \mid i, \\ f(i) = 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

In this case, the subspace $\oplus_{i\not\equiv 0[d]}\mathbb{C}e_i$ is a non-trivial homogeneous ideal of E_f, which is a contradiction.

One can therefore assume that $d > 1$ and $a \neq 0$. If $d = 2$, the sequence of values of f on non-negative integers starts with

$$1, 0, 1 + a, a, 1 + 2a, \ldots, \tag{12}$$

and $a \neq 0$ implies $1 + 2a = a - 1$, *i.e.* $a = -2$ and $f(k) = 1 - k$ for all k. This is the pre-Lie algebra A_{-1}.

The only unsettled case is when $d \geq 3$ and $a \neq 0$. Then the sequence of values of f on non-negative integers starts with

$$1, \underbrace{0, 0, \ldots}_{d-1 \text{ zeroes}}, 1 + a, a, a, \ldots, \tag{13}$$

and $a \neq 0$ implies $a = a - 1$, which is a contradiction. □

2.2. Case B: $f(0) = 0$. When $f(0) = 0$, there exists $i \neq 0$ such that $f(i) \neq 0$. The vector e_0 is invariant, so it gives a derivation by Lemma 1.6. The vanishing of $C_{i,j,0}$ is then equivalent to the following equation:

$$f(i)\Big(f(i+j) - f(i) - f(j)\Big) = 0. \tag{14}$$

Let us introduce a family of simple graded pre-Lie algebras B_b for a complex number b which is not the inverse of an integer. They are defined on the vector space E by the formula $e_i \circ e_j = i/(1+bj)e_{i+j}$. By the change of basis $\bar{e}_i = (1+bi)e_i$, this is equivalent to

$$\bar{e}_i \circ \bar{e}_j = \frac{i(1+bi)}{1+b(i+j)}\bar{e}_{i+j}, \tag{15}$$

which is the formula given by Kuperschmidt [**Kup99**].

One can check, by using formula (15), that the associated Lie algebra of B_b is the Witt algebra W for all b. It follows by Lemma 1.5 that B_b is a simple graded pre-Lie algebra for any admissible b.

Proposition 2.3. *Any simple graded pre-Lie algebra structure on E with product*

$$e_i \circ e_j = f(i)g(j)e_{i+j}, \tag{16}$$

for some complex-valued functions f, g on $\mathbb{Z}$ with $f(0) = 0$, is isomorphic to one of the B_b.

Proof. There exists $i \neq 0$ such that $f(i) \neq 0$. Assume for example that there exists a positive such i and let d be the smallest positive integer such that $f(d) \neq 0$. Then one can assume without restriction that $f(d) = d$, by dividing the basis and f by $f(d)/d$. It follows from (14) that $f(i) - i$ is a periodic function of i with period d. It has also period $d+1$, because $f(d+1)$ is either d or $2d$, which is non-zero. This implies that $f(i) - i$ is constant. As $f(0) = 0$, one has $f(i) = i$ for all i.

Let us define a complex-valued function on $\mathbb{Z}$ by $h(i) = 1/g(i)$. The vanishing of (8) becomes

$$k\big(h(j+k) - h(k)\big) = j\big(h(j+k) - h(j)\big). \tag{17}$$

As a special case, $h(j) + h(-j) = 2$ for all j. Let $h(1) = 1 + b$ for some complex number b. Then $h(-1) = 1 - b$. One proves by recursion that $h(j) = 1 + bj$ for all j.

To summarize, we have obtained the following law:

$$e_i \circ e_j = \frac{i}{1+bj}e_{i+j}, \tag{18}$$

which is defined only if b is not the inverse of an integer, and is the pre-Lie algebra B_b introduced above.

□

3. Isomorphism types

In this section, the algebras A_a and B_b are not considered as graded, *i.e.* one works in the category of not necessarily graded pre-Lie algebras.

The map $e_i \mapsto e_{-i}$ defines an isomorphism between A_a and A_{-a}. The map $e_i \mapsto -e_{-i}$ defines an isomorphism between B_b and B_{-b}.

A useful remark is that A_0 is the only associative algebra among the A_a and B_b. In fact, A_0 is isomorphic to the commutative algebra $\mathbb{C}[x, x^{-1}]$ of Laurent polynomials.

Proposition 3.1. *The only non-trivial (not necessarily graded) isomorphisms between the A_a and B_b pre-Lie algebras are the above isomorphisms $A_a \simeq A_{-a}$ and $B_b \simeq B_{-b}$.*

Before proving the proposition, we state a crucial lemma. Let L be a pre-Lie algebra which is one of the A_a or B_b.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $v \in L$. If $R_v : x \mapsto x \circ v$ is a locally finite endomorphism of L, then v is proportional to e_0.*

Proof. One can assume that $v \neq 0$. Let $v = \sum_{i=k}^{\ell} \lambda_i e_i$ with λ_k and λ_ℓ non-zero. Let us show that $\ell > 0$ implies that R_v is not locally finite.

Let N be a large positive integer. Consider the sequence

$$e_N, R_v(e_N), R_v^2(e_N), \dots \tag{19}$$

By recursion, one can see that for all $p \geq 0$, $R_v^p(e_N)$ is a finite sum of the form $\sum_{j \leq N+p\ell} \mu_j e_j$ with $\mu_{N+p\ell} \neq 0$, provided that N is larger than $-1/a$ in the case of the A_a algebra. Therefore these vectors are linearly independent. This is a contradiction with local finiteness of R_v. Hence we can assume that $\ell \leq 0$. By the same proof with reverse grading, one can assume that $k \geq 0$, so that $v \in \mathbb{C}e_0$. □

Let us go back to the proof of proposition 3.1.

Proof. Let L be a pre-Lie algebra which is one of the A_a or B_b. By Lemma 3.2, the subspace $\mathbb{C}e_0$ is invariant by any automorphism. Let v be a non-zero multiple of e_0. We now discuss according to the vanishing of $v \circ v$.

If $v \circ v = 0$, then the algebra must be one of the B_b. The eigenspace decomposition of R_v gives back the homogeneous decomposition, up to reversal of the grading. Choose one of the two opposite gradings and let $w \neq 0$ be in $\mathbb{C}e_1$. Then $(w \circ w) \circ w$ and $w \circ (w \circ w)$ are two non-zero vectors in $\mathbb{C}e_3$. Their proportionality coefficient gives back b or $-b$, according to the choice of grading.

If $v \circ v \neq 0$, then the algebra must be one of the A_a. One can further assume that $a \neq 0$, because A_0 is the only associative algebra among the A_a. Then e_0 is the unique vector in $\mathbb{C}e_0$ such that $e_0 \circ e_0 = e_0$. The eigenvalues

of R_{e_0} give back the sequence $1+ai$ up to a choice of grading. So we recover a up to sign. □

4. Vector fields realizations

We determine here all realizations of the algebras A_a and B_b as subalgebras of the pre-Lie algebra $V_1(\Omega)$ of analytic complex vector fields on an connected open subset Ω of the complex affine line $\mathsf{A}^1(\mathbb{C})$.

Let us start with the A case:

Proposition 4.1. *The algebra A_a for $a \neq 0$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra of $V_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}^-)$ with basis*

$$e_i = x^{1+ai}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}. \tag{20}$$

Up to an affine change of variable, this is the unique realization of A_a as a pre-Lie algebra of vector fields on the line.

There is no injection of A_0 into the pre-Lie algebra of analytic vector fields on an open subset of $\mathsf{A}^1(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Let ψ be a map from A_a to such a pre-Lie algebra. Because of $e_0 \circ e_0 = e_0$, $\psi(e_0)$ must belong to $\mathbb{C}\partial_x \oplus \mathbb{C}x\partial_x$ with non-vanishing component in $\mathbb{C}x\partial_x$. One can assume by a translation of the variable x that $\psi(e_0)$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}x\partial_x$. Then, in fact, $\psi(e_0) = x\partial_x$. Because $e_i \circ e_0 = (1+ai)e_i$, $\psi(e_i)$ is in $\mathbb{C}x^{1+ai}\partial_x$ for all i. Hence one must restrict to a simply-connected open subset of $\mathbb{C}^*$, and injectivity is only possible if $a \neq 0$. By a dilatation of the variable x, one can assume that $\psi(e_1) = x^{1+a}\partial_x$. Then is is easy to see that necessarily $\psi(e_i) = x^{1+ai}\partial_x$ for all i. Finally, it is easy to check that ψ is a pre-Lie algebra map. □

Now, consider the B case:

Proposition 4.2. *The algebra B_0 is isomorphic to the subalgebra of $V_1(\mathbb{C})$ with basis*

$$e_i = \exp(ix)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}. \tag{21}$$

Up to an affine change of variable, this is the unique realization of B_0 as a pre-Lie algebra of vector fields on the line.

There is no injection of B_b into the pre-Lie algebra of analytic vector fields on an open subset of $\mathsf{A}^1(\mathbb{C})$ for $b \neq 0$.

Proof. Let ψ be a map from B_b to such a pre-Lie algebra. Because of $e_0 \circ e_0 = 0$, $\psi(e_0)$ must be in $\mathbb{C}\partial_x$. By a dilatation of the variable x, one can assume that $\psi(e_0) = \partial_x$. Then $e_i \circ e_0 = ie_i$ implies that $\psi(e_i)$ is in $\mathbb{C}\exp(ix)\partial_x$ for all i. By a translation of the variable x, one can assume that $\psi(e_1) = \exp(x)\partial_x$. Then one can check that $\psi(e_1) \circ \psi(e_{-1}) = -\psi(e_{-1}) \circ \psi(e_1)$, which implies

$b = 0$. It is easy then to see that $\psi(e_i) = \exp(ix)\partial_x$ for all i. This indeed defines a pre-Lie algebra map. □

References

[Bur96] Dietrich Burde. Left-invariant affine structures on reductive Lie groups. *J. Algebra*, 181(3):884–902, 1996.

[Bur98] Dietrich Burde. Simple left-symmetric algebras with solvable Lie algebra. *Manuscripta Math.*, 95(3):397–411, 1998.

[CL01] Frédéric Chapoton and Muriel Livernet. Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (8):395–408, 2001.

[Ger64] Murray Gerstenhaber. On the deformation of rings and algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 79:59–103, 1964.

[Kup99] Boris A. Kupershmidt. On the nature of the Virasoro algebra. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, 6(2):222–245, 1999.

[Mat86a] Olivier Mathieu. Classification des algèbres de Lie graduées simples de croissance ≤ 1. *Invent. Math.*, 86(2):371–426, 1986.

[Mat86b] Olivier Mathieu. Sur un problème de V. G. Kac: la classification de certaines algèbres de Lie graduées simples. *J. Algebra*, 102(2):505–536, 1986.

[Mat91] Olivier Mathieu. Classification of simple graded Lie algebras of finite growth. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990)*, pages 799–806, Tokyo, 1991. Math. Soc. Japan.

[Mat92] Olivier Mathieu. Classification of simple graded Lie algebras of finite growth. *Invent. Math.*, 108(3):455–519, 1992.

Received Received date / Revised version date

LACIM
Université du Québec à Montréal
CP 8888 succ. centre ville
MONTRÉAL QUÉBEC H3C 3P8
CANADA
E-mail address: chapoton@math.uqam.ca